SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2005 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S025355

PEOPLE v. BRIDGES (EDWARD DEAN) Extension of time granted

to November 28, 2005 to file the supplemental respondent's brief. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 175 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Rhonda L. Cartwright-Ladendorf's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 5/31/2006.

S035190

PEOPLE v. HOUSTON (ERIC C.) Extension of time granted

Appellant's application for relief from default for failure to file appellant's opening brief or timely motion for extension of time is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by 8/15/2006, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to 11/14/2005. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 275 additional days will be granted.

S048337

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (REGIS) Extension of time granted

to November 28, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Peggie Bradford Tarwater's representation that brief by 11/26/2005.

S056364

PEOPLE v. JONES (ALBERT)

Extension of time granted

to November 28, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 400 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S064733

PEOPLE v. ABEL (JOHN C.) Extension of time granted

to November 28, 2005 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Kate Johnston's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 7/2006.

S066527

PEOPLE v. LINDBERG (GUNNER J.) Extension of time granted

to October 21, 2005 to file respondent's brief. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Rhonda L. Cartwright-Ladendorf's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 10/21/2005. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S073253

PEOPLE v. GUTIERREZ (ALFRED A.) Extension of time granted

to November 28, 2005 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 10 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Robert C. Schneider's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 12/5/2005.

S124660

RENO ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to October 19, 2005 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 125 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Peter Giannini's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 2/20/2006.

S134243

B170355 Second Appellate District, Division Six

PEOPLE v. THOMA

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including October 28, 2005.

S136461

COLLINS (SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to October 26, 2005 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Theresa A. Patterson's representation that she anticipates filing that document by 3/26/2006.

S136687

COOK (WALTER) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to October 26, 2005 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only nine further extensions totaling about 270 additional days are contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Aileen Bunney's representation that she anticipates filing that document by 6/20/2006.

S129522

D041356 Fourth Appellate District, **Division One**

TOBACCO CASES II, JCCP 4042

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

Floyd Abrams (N.Y. Reg. No. 1758184 and Joel Kurtzberg (N.Y. Reg. No. 2835007) on behalf of amicus curiae American Advertising

Federation et al.

S068536 PEOPLE v. MCWHORTER (RICHARD)

Counsel appointment order filed

appointing Phyllis M. Quatman to represent appellant for habeas corpus/executive clemency

proceedings.

S136231 7 ELEVEN, INC. v. ALCOHOLIC BEV. CONTROL

APPEALS BOARD (DEPT. OF ABC)

The above-entitled matter, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S137234 CIRCLE K STORES, INC. v. ALCOHOLIC

> BEVERAGE CONTROL APPEALS BOARD (ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD)

Transferred to CA 2

S137246 7-ELEVEN INCORPORATED v. DEPARTMENT OF

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL

Transferred to CA 2

S137251 7-ELEVEN v. DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC

> BEVERAGE CONTROL Transferred to CA 2

S137359 7 ELEVEN, INC. v. ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE

CONTROL APPEALS BOARD; DEPT. OF ABC

Transferred to CA 2

S137360 CIRCLE K STORES, INC. v. ALCOHOLIC

BEVERAGE CONTROL APPEALS BOARD; DEPT.

OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL

Transferred to CA 2

S137362 7 ELEVEN, INC. v. ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE

CONTROL APPEALS BOARD; DEPT. OF ABC

Transferred to CA 2

S137393 YOON v. DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC

BEVERAGE CONTROL Transferred to CA 2

\$137397 7-ELEVEN INC. v. DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC

BEVERAGE CONTROL Transferred to CA 2

S137399 ATWAL v. DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOLIC

BEVERAGE CONTROL Transferred to CA 2

B174824 Second Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARMANDO SANDERS

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including October 26, 2005. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule

28.2(c).)

Bar Misc. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF

THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR

ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the

place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO

applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and

ORIGINAL ORDER)